



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney, Christopher Rourk, to discuss amending independent claims 1, 9, 12, and 22, and 25. Mr. Rourk agreed to let the Examiner amend claims 1, 9, 12, 22, and 25 to add, "generating an inaccurate clock signal that oscillates at different frequencies; generating a plurality of encryption keys based on the generated clock signal and a pseudorandom bit pattern generated in a linear feedback shift register each time the digital device is reset". Also, the Examiner informed Mr. Rourk that claims 9, and 25 have a 112 for containing new matter. Claim 9, for "the encryption key generator is reset", and claim 25, an encryption circuit generating a plurality of keys when the encryption circuit is reset". The Examiner informed Mr. Rourk that the specification only discloses when the digital device is reset generating keys. Mr. Rourk agreed to amendment of claim 9 to include the digital device is reset, and claim 25 "generating a plurality of keys when the apparatus is reset".